



CORPCAPITAL
Investments

21 June 2002

Nic Frangos
95 Protea Avenue
Athol

Dear Nic

Herewith, please find documents as required.

Regards

JADE HAMBURGER

F:\CorpCapital\Investment Banking\Jhamburger\General et.0040.doc

CORPCAPITAL INVESTMENTS (PROPRIETARY) LIMITED (1995/000106/007)

A SUBSIDIARY OF CORPCAPITAL LIMITED (1983/011381/001)

2 ARNOLD ROAD ROSEBANK JOHANNESBURG 2196 PO BOX 471917 PARKLANDS 2124 SOUTH AFRICA
TELEPHONE: +27 11 283 0000 TELEFAX: +27 11 283 0001 EMAIL: info@corpcapital.com www.corpcapital.com

DIRECTORS: F. PELJERINE (CHAIRMAN); J. W. L. BEEMAN (CEO); M. J. SACKS (MANAGING); N. F. FRANGOS (PROGRESSIVE);
B. L. A. KRUGER (VEN. N. N. LAZARUS SC.); G. R. H. BEEMAN; W. D. TRENGOVIE SC.; F. A. WINKLER (CONSENTED);
COMPANY SECRETARY: CORPCAPITAL COMPANY SECRETARIES (PTY) LTD.

Netainment

Financial reporting period	Final Aug 2000	Interims Feb 2001	Final Aug 2001	Interims Feb 2002
Value in books (\$'000)	21 286	27 217	26 306	19 382
Value in books (R'000)	149 000	211 750	221 149	228 200
Desk –top valuation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DCF Valuation - \$52m • 47.5% - \$24,7m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DCF Valuation - \$58m • 47.5% - \$27,6m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DCF Valuation - \$55m • Excess cash - \$3,5m • Total \$58,5m • 47.5% - \$27,8m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DCF Valuation - \$50m • Excess cash - \$1m • Quizwinner \$0.5m • Total – \$51,5m • 47.5% = \$24,5m <p><i>Note: Directors applied a discount to the DCF value due to prevailing uncertainties.</i></p>
WACC	24%	24%	24%	24%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rf • ERP • Beta • Tax • SSP (additional) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6% • 4.5% • 2 • 32.5% • 9.1% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6% • 4.5% • 2 • 32.5% • 9.1% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.7% • 4.5% • 2 • 32.5% • 10.3% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.8% • 4.5% • 2 • 32.5% • 10.2%
Terminal growth	3%	3%	3%	3%
<p>The terminal growth assumption is based on a long-term inflation assumption for the US. The terminal growth assumption of 3% is the same as that used by Merrill Lynch in their valuations of online casinos (January 2001)</p> <p>Industry growth</p> <p>Approx size of market is currently \$2,2 bn (Christiansen Capital Advisers, 2001)</p> <p>Merrill Lynch forecast the market to be \$148 billion in 2015 therefore CAGR - 35%. Similar to Bear Stern's (2001) 36.4% CAGR to 2003.</p> <p>IMF forecast global real growth at 2.9% in 2002 and 4.1% in 2003. US inflation over the last 18 years has averaged 3.2%</p>				
Actual:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P/Rev • EV/Ebitda • P/E multiple 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1.4x • 9.6x • 9.6x 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1.4x • 6.9x • 6.9x 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.0x • 7.5x • 7.5x 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.0x • 8.3x • 8.3x
Comparables:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P/Rev • EV/Ebitda • P/E multiple • WACC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.0x • 31.7x • 160x • N/A 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.3x • 46x • 86x • 14.5% <p><i>(Stanley Leisure & Ladbrokes online casino WACC)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.5x • 9.1x • 13.5x • 14.5% <p><i>(Stanley Leisure & Ladbrokes online casino WACC)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1.5x • 8.9x • 16.3x • 14.5% <p><i>(Stanley Leisure & Ladbrokes online casino WACC)</i></p>

Relevant corporate activity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stanley Leisure acquired two online casinos in March 2000 on an implied forward PBT multiple of 13,3x 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stanley Leisure acquired two online casinos in March 2000 on an implied forward PBT multiple of 13,3x 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aspinalls reverse listing June 2001 (PE 12.8x) Sportingbet acquires IOE (July 2001) (H PE 8.6x, FWD PE 8.6x -- no tax) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aspinalls reverse listing June 2001 (PE 12.8x) Sportingbet acquires IOE (July 2001) (H PE 8.6x, FWD PE 8.6x -- no tax)
Software royalty				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Yr fwd 2 Yr fwd 3 Yr fwd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26% 23% 23% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 28% 25% 23% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8% 7% 7% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7% 7% 7%
Aqua fee				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Yr fwd 2 Yr fwd 3 Yr fwd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10.9% 7.5% 7.5% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 12.5% 7.9% 6.0% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10.4% 7.5% 7.5% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6.9% 5.7% 3.4%
Growth in Drop				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Yr fwd 2 Yr fwd 3 Yr fwd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A 76% 38% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 110% 44% 22% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -19% 62% 23% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7% 30% 18%
Marketing efficiency				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Yr fwd 2 Yr fwd 3 Yr fwd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.2x 3.0x 2.7x 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.5x 3.3x 2.9x 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.0x 2.9x 2.6x 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.7x 2.7x 2.7x
Drop				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Yr fwd 2 Yr fwd 3 Yr fwd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$33m \$58m \$80m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$41m \$62m \$75m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$25m \$41m \$50m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$27m \$36m \$42m
PBIT				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Yr fwd 2 Yr fwd 3 Yr fwd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$4,7m \$11,1m \$12,1m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$8,3m \$11,6m \$14,0m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$6,8m \$12,9m \$14,0m 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$6.7m \$9.5m \$12.4m
Audit work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit of assumptions underlying the valuation and reasonableness Auditors agreed historic revenue and material costs to an external source (software provider) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No audit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review of Netainment's historic financial information Auditors had issued draft financial statements (prior to sign off on valuation). Audit of assumptions underlying the valuation and reasonableness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No audit work Final audited accounts issued for the period ending Sept 2001. No material discrepancies with management accounts.

Tax

The DCF calculation assumes Netainment/Cytech will continue to operate in a zero tax environment. The rationale for this is as follows:

- Netainment and Cytech are based in tax havens (Netherland Antilles and Belize).
- The casino licenses, domains and brands are owned by these entities.
- The marketing of the business is outsourced to a UK company, WMC (UK) Ltd.
- The directors are domiciled in the tax havens.
- The source of the income is in the tax havens.

Forecasts vs actual

Timeline of significant events

Financial reporting period:	12 months ended Aug 2000	6 months ended Feb 2001	12 months ended Aug 2001	6 months ended Feb 2002
New software				September 01
New Aqua contract				September 01
Credit card issue			June 2001 onwards	

27% - 6.5%

	Forecast 12 months Aug 2000 valuation (1)	Actual 12 months ended 31 May 2001	Forecast 12 months Feb 2001 valuation (2)	Actual 12 months ended 30 Nov 2001	Forecast 6 months Aug 2001 valuation (3)	Actual 6 months ended 28 Feb 2002 (4)
Drop	\$33m	\$29m	\$42m	\$29m	\$11m	\$9,8m
PBT	\$4,4m	\$4,6m	\$8,3m	\$4,0m	\$2,3m	\$1,3m

Note

1. Twelve months to 31 May 2001 were used as the basis for the Aug 2000 valuation
2. Twelve months to 30 November 2001 were used as the basis for the Feb 2001 valuation. The credit card issue impacted profitability over this period, as did the changeover to the new software. Both these events were not anticipated when the forecasts were made.
3. Six months to 28 Feb 2002 were used as the basis for the Aug 2002 valuation
4. The February 2002 interim valuation, using 1-year forward profits from March 2002, took into account the lower actual results achieved to February 2002 as a base. The forecast profits assumed growth commensurate with the growing trend (and absolute profits) achieved subsequent to the software changeover.

Analysis of margin before and after significant events

	Before	After		Note
Drop	100%	75%		1
Software	27%	5.1%	100%	2
Aqua	13.00%	5.6%	6.75%	3
	60.0%	64.3%	7.50%	
Credit card fee	11%	8.3%	11%	
Bad debts	3%	2.3%	3%	
	46%	54%		

Notes:

- 1 Initial credit card statistics showed that the increase in credit card rejections reduced our drop by 25%
- 2 Renegotiated software agreement
- 3 Renegotiated Aqua contract

Break-even scenario

	Before	After	
Drop	100%	64%	
Software	27%	4.3%	100%
Aqua	13.00%	4.8%	6.75%
	60.0%	55.0%	7.50%
Credit card fee	11%	7.1%	11%
Bad debts	3%	1.9%	3%
	46%	46%	



FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION SHEET

The information transmitted with this facsimile is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and destroy the material or delete it from any computer.

TO: Nic Frangos	COMPANY:
CC: Tom Wixley	
FAX NO: (011) 786-4249	
FROM: Jade Hamburger	COMPANY: Corpcapital
TOTAL NO OF PAGES: 4	DATE: 9 July 2002
RE: Cytech	

Dear Nic

1. Attached please find supplementary information on the Cytech valuation as discussed.
2. I have included the following:
 - A comparison of the forecast profits compared to actuals (schedule A). This schedule was contained in the document previously sent. Please note that there is an immaterial typo on this schedule.
 - Schedule B reconciles the actual profit results to schedule A.
 - Schedule C provides commentary on the adjustments of actual profit to sustainable profit. Additionally schedule C provides a comparison of the forecast profit periods to Corpcapital's accounting periods.
3. Please contact me should you wish to query.

Kind regards

JADE HAMBURGER

I:\CorpCapital\Investment Banking\Jhamburger\Genfax\Fax0203.doc

CORPCAPITAL INVESTMENTS (PROPRIETARY) LIMITED (1998/000100/07)
 A SUBSIDIARY OF CORPCAPITAL LIMITED (1993/011384/06)
 2 ARNOLD ROAD ROSEBANK JOHANNESBURG 2196 PO BOX 471917 PARKLANDS 2121 SOUTH AFRICA
 TELEPHONE +27 11 283 0000 TEE/FAX +27 11 283 0066 EMAIL info@corpcapital.com www.corpcapital.com

DIRECTORS: B BLERINE (CHAIRMAN)* J M LIEBESMAN (CEO) B H SACKS (MANAGING) N J FRANGOS* B GROJMAN
 B K A KALKROVEN N N LAZARUS SC G R LIEBMAN W H TRENGOVE SC T A WIXLEY* (* NON-EXECUTIVE)
 COMPANY SECRETARY CORPCAPITAL COMPANY SECRETARIES (PTY) LTD

Forecasts vs actual

Timeline of significant events

Financial reporting period:	12 months ended Aug 2000	6 months ended Feb 2001	12 months ended Aug 2001	6 months ended Feb 2002
New software				September 01
New Aqua contract				September 01
Credit card issue			June 2001 onwards	

	Forecast 12 months Aug 2000 valuation (1)	Actual 12 months ended 31 May 2001	Forecast 12 months Feb 2001 valuation (2)	Actual 12 months ended 30 Nov 2001	Forecast 6 months Aug 2001 valuation (3)	Actual 6 months ended 28 Feb 2002 (4)
Drop	\$33m	\$29m	\$42m	\$29m	\$11m	\$9.8m
PBT	\$4.1m	\$4.6m	\$8.3m	\$4.0m	\$2.3m	\$1.3m
	\$4.7m	①		②		③

Note

1. Twelve months to 31 May 2001 were used as the basis for the Aug 2000 valuation
2. Twelve months to 30 November 2001 were used as the basis for the Feb 2001 valuation. The credit card issue impacted profitability over this period, as did the changeover to the new software. Both these events were not anticipated when the forecasts were made.
3. Six months to 28 Feb 2002 were used as the basis for the Aug 2002 valuation
4. The February 2002 interim valuation, using 1-year forward profits from March 2002, took into account the lower actual results achieved to February 2002 as a base. The forecast profits assumed growth commensurate with the growing trend (and absolute profits) achieved subsequent to the software changeover.

* 100% All Supermarket Guarantees & Discounts



Maintenance

ACTUAL

	6 months to Feb 2000	12 months to August 2000	6 months to Feb 2001	12 months to August 2001	6 months to Feb 2002	F2002	F2003	F2004	F2005
Drop	4,686	14,722	15,194	30,909	9,741				
PBT	368	1,732	2,346	2,615	1,072				
Adjustments	77	77	690	1,690	250				
Comments on adjustments:	(bonus \$30k, royalty scheme fees \$27k, casino licenses and software expenses \$20k)	(bonus \$30k, royalty scheme fees \$27k, casino licenses and software expenses \$20k)	(Bonus - \$600k and \$90k legal fees for failed merger)	(Bonus - \$600k, \$90k legal fees for failed merger, \$1,200k dividend reflected as management fees)	(\$50k per month for five months Payment for acquisition of Aqua marketing team. Retainment expenses the amount when paid. Total purchase price = \$600k)				
Sustainable PBT	445	1,809	3,036	4,505	1,322				
Valuation at August 2000									
Forecasts for twelve months ending 31 May									
Drop						Two year forward:	Three year forward		
PBT						57,805	79,770		
						11,071	12,103		
Valuation at Feb 2001									
Forecasts for twelve months ending 30 November									
Drop						One year forward:	Three year forward:		
PBT						41,468	61,664		
						8,314	75,237		
							13,973		
Valuation at August 2001									
Forecasts for twelve months ending 31 August									
Drop						One year forward:	Two year forward:	Three year forward:	
PBT						28,415	41,156	48,802	
						6,686	11,936	13,517	
Valuation at Feb 2002									
Forecasts for twelve months ending 28 February									
Drop						One year forward:	Two year forward:	Three year forward:	
PBT						50,606	66,020	77,926	
						6,704	9,564	12,442	

Note: The forecasts have been included for the period included in the valuations and might not be for continuous twelve month periods.